1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Daniel C. Girard (pro hac vice) Jordan Elias (pro hac vice) Makenna Cox (pro hac vice) GIRARD SHARP LLP 601 California Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 981-4800 Facsimile: (415) 981-4846 dgirard@girardsharp.com apolk@girardsharp.com jelias@girardsharp.com mcox@girardsharp.com Interim Co-Lead Counsel [Additional Counsel on Signature Page]	Eric Gibbs (pro hac vice) David K. Stein (pro hac vice) Emily Beale (pro hac vice) GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP 1111 Broadway, Suite 2100 Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 350-9700 Facsimile: (510) 350-9701 ehg@classlawgroup.com ds@classlawgroup.com eb@classlawgroup.com		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
12	In re J&J Investment Litigation	Case No. 2:22-cv-00529-GMN-NJK		
13		Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Gloria M. Navarro		
14 15		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING CASE		
16		SCHEDULE		
17		(FIRST REQUEST)		
18		LR 7-1, LR IA 6-2		
19	Pursuant to LR 7-1, LR IA 6-1, and LR IA 6-2, Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action			
20	("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo") (collectively, the "Parties")			
21	jointly stipulate as follows:			
22	WHEREAS, on September 28, 2022, the Court entered a Discovery Plan and Scheduling			
23	Order setting out a case schedule (ECF No. 54);			
24	WHEREAS, the parties have been implementing the phased discovery schedule reflected			
25	in the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order;			
26	WHEREAS, in the first 90-day phase (August 4, 2022, to November 2, 2022), Wells Fargo			
27	was to produce certain account-related documents responsive to certain of Plaintiffs' First Set of			
28	Requests for Documents;			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE CASE NO. 2:22-CV-00529-GMN-NJK			

WHEREAS, in the second 90-day phase beginning November 2, 2022, and ending January 31, 2023, the parties were to exchange document requests and responses thereto and produce their documents;

WHEREAS, in the third 120-day phase beginning February 1, and ending May 31, 2023, the parties were to conduct depositions and complete written discovery;

WHEREAS, during the second phase of discovery, the parties have been working diligently to collect and produce documents. The scope of discovery has expanded, however, from what the parties contemplated when they negotiated the phased discovery schedule reflected in the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. Wells Fargo is currently collecting and producing account records for forty-three accounts and documents and emails for nineteen Wells Fargo custodians. Wells Fargo has produced over 20,000 documents totaling over 50,000 pages. Wells Fargo continues to collect and produce documents on a rolling basis, which it anticipates it will continue to do through at least the end of April 2023;

WHEREAS, to date, Plaintiffs have produced over 800 documents totaling over 3,500 pages and the parties are continuing to negotiate the production of additional documents;

WHEREAS, the parties have also engaged in substantial third party discovery. Wells Fargo has served 17 subpoenas on Plaintiffs' businesses and the former class representative and Plaintiffs have served three subpoenas on other financial institutions and the State of Nevada;

WHEREAS, the parties have also been negotiating in good faith to resolve issues that arose regarding their document requests. The discussions have required a series of conferences to address a range of issues and resolve or narrow areas of disagreement in an effort to avoid the need for disputes where possible;

WHEREAS, the negotiations surrounding interrogatories and document requests have involved, among other things, as to requests directed at Plaintiffs, requests for information about Plaintiffs and their counsel's communications with putative class members, including the former class representatives (Wells Fargo has filed a motion to compel that is currently being briefed and will need to be resolved by the Court), identification of persons with knowledge regarding Plaintiffs' allegations in the Consolidated Complaint, application of Rule 26(b)(5) governing

information and documents withheld, the scope of the Plaintiffs' logging obligations, and the timing of contention-style interrogatories and responses thereto. As a result of the parties' negotiations, Plaintiffs have amended or supplemented their written discovery responses, including to respond to contention-style interrogatories, but the parties continue to negotiate additional issues;

WHEREAS, the negotiations surrounding document requests directed at Wells Fargo have involved, among other things, the scope and application of the Bank Secrecy Act "SAR privilege" (Plaintiffs previously filed a motion to compel but subsequent negotiations ultimately resolved the dispute without the need for a Court ruling), the scope and application of other confidentiality assertions, including the Gramm-Leach Bliley Act and the Bank Examiner Privilege, the identification of Wells Fargo's communications platforms and internal account notation systems, the selection of targeted search terms, Plaintiffs' requests that Wells Fargo collect documents from additional custodians beyond those originally identified by Wells Fargo, and Plaintiffs' requests that Wells Fargo produce records related to at least 18 more accounts allegedly associated with certain promoters of the J&J scheme than Wells Fargo initially anticipated. As a result of the parties' negotiations, Wells Fargo has supplemented its discovery responses and is collecting and producing a greater number of documents than it originally expected, and the collection and review of those documents is taking longer than it initially anticipated, particularly in the context of investigative documents that require a careful review to ensure compliance with Wells Fargo's Bank Secrecy Act confidentiality obligations;

WHEREAS, the parties are working to schedule various depositions (including those of the Plaintiffs, Wells Fargo under Rule 30(b)(6), and several Wells Fargo employees), and seek to substantially complete document discovery before commencing with depositions in earnest. The parties agreed to fifteen deposition per side in the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order and acknowledged that the total number of depositions could exceed that number;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that they have acted in good faith to narrow or eliminate disputes where possible, but this case involves claims against Wells Fargo for allegedly aiding and abetting a 5 year, \$491 million Ponzi scheme. Negotiating the respective document productions

and collecting and producing documents has required more time than the parties anticipated, and the parties were unable to complete written and document discovery consistent with the time periods contemplated in the scheduling order, as the parties anticipate substantially completing document discovery by April 30, 2023, rather than January 31, 2023, as contemplated in the scheduling order;

WHEREAS, the parties believe a 90-day extension of the schedule is necessary to allow for the substantial completion of document discovery and, thereafter, the completion of depositions. The litigation will also feature the submission of expert testimony, and work relating to those efforts will be predicated on the document and deposition discovery discussed above;

WHEREAS, the parties respectfully submit that good cause for the requested extension exists, in that they have been negotiating in good faith to move this action forward reasonably expeditiously, considering the complexity of the issues and the amount in controversy, and that all clients involved consent to the requested extension as consistent with their interest in a prompt yet fair adjudication of this dispute;

WHEREAS, on March 20, 2023, District Judge Gloria M. Navarro issued an order granting in part and denying in part Wells Fargo's motion to dismiss with the majority of Plaintiffs' claims proceeding beyond the motion to dismiss stage; and

WHEREAS, this is the first stipulation for extension of the case schedule.

NOW THEREFORE, the parties stipulate as follows, subject to the Court's approval:

The remaining case deadlines will be extended by 90 days, as set out below:

		Current Deadline	New Deadline
		24 202	
1.	Fact Discovery Cutoff	May 31, 2023	August 29, 2023
2.	Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)	May 1, 2023	July 31, 2023
	Expert Disclosures		
3.	Rebuttal Expert	May 31, 2023	August 29, 2023
	Disclosures		
4.	Expert Discovery Cut-off	June 30, 2023	September 28, 2023
5.	Plaintiffs' Motion for	July 11, 2023	October 9, 2023
	Class Certification		
	Deadline		

	Current Deadline	New Deadline
6. Dispositive Motions Deadline	October 20, 2023	January 18, 2024
7. Joint Pretrial Order	November 20, 2023 [30 days	February 20, 2024
	after dispositive motion deadline], or, if dispositive	
	motions are filed, 30 days	
	after decision on the dispositive motions or further	
	Court order	
8. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) Pretrial Disclosures	November 20, 2023 [30 days after dispositive motion	February 20, 2024
Tremai Disciosares	deadline], or, if dispositive	
	motions are filed, 30 days after decision on the	
	dispositive motions or further	
	Court order	
Dated: March 20, 2023	By: <u>/s/ Danie</u> Daniel C. Gi	el C. Girard rard (pro hac vice)
	Jordan Elias	(pro hac vice)
	Makenna Co GIRARD S I	x (pro hac vice) HARP LLP
	601 Californ	ia Street, Suite 1400
		o, California 94108 415) 981-4800
	Facsimile: (4	115) 981-4846
IT IS SO ORDERED.	dgirard@gira apolk@girar	ardsharp.com dsharp.com
Dated: March 21, 2023	jelias@girar	dsharp.com
	mcox@girar	_
	By: <u>/s/ <i>David</i></u> Eric Gibbs (1	
Nancy J. Koppe \ United States Magistrate J	David K. Ste	ein (pro hac vice)
The state of the s	Emily Beale	(pro hac vice) V GROUP LLP
	1111 Broady	vay, Suite 2100
	Oakland, CA Telephone: (\$ 94607 510) 350-9700
	Facsimile: (5	310) 350-9701
	ds@classlaw eg@classlaw	<u> </u>
	eb@classlaw	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE CASE NO. 2:22-CV-00529-GMN-NJK

28

CASE NO. 2:22-CV-00529-GMN-NJK

Case 2:22-cv-00529-GMN-NJK Document 77 Filed 03/21/23 Page 6 of 7

	Case 2:22-cv-00529-GMN-NJK Do	cument 77 Filed 03/21/23 Page 7 of 7
1		jgwent@hollandhart.com
2		srgambee@hollandhart.com
3		By: <u>/s/ K. Issac deVyver</u>
4		K. Issac deVyver (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Alicia A. Baiardo (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
5		Anthony Q. Le (pro hac vice) MCGUIREWOODS
6		1800 Century Park East, 8th Floor
7		Los Angeles, CA 90067 Phone: 310.315.8200
8		Fax: 310.315.8210 KdeVyver@mcguirewoods.com
9		ABaiardo@mcguirewoods.com ALe@mcguirewoods.com
10		7 LEComegane woods.com
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	STIPLIL ATION AND IPROPOS	7 SEDLORDER REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE